

E²Coach – Coaching Students with Computer Tailored Communication

Jared Tritz, Madeline Huberth, Timothy McKay, Patricia Chen

Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI



CONCLUSIONS

· E²Coach's tailored communication provides personalized feedback for

• Higher usage predicts better performance in the course, as measured by

students' Better-Than-Expected scores. The high users performed

• Moreover, E²Coach affects student performance positively in the same

· Although preliminary, we have validated the BTE statistic (quadratic curve)

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

· Aims to provide personalized instruction to each of the 11,200 students who take introductory Biology, Chemistry, and Statistics, in addition to Physics.

Current Grades and Expectations in Target Expansion Classes

In Fall 2011, E2Coach will be in Chem 130, MCDB 310, and Stats 250.

an improved measure for detecting changes in student performance.

students that has been shown to positively affect their course

significantly better than all other types of users.

manner for both males and females.

The E²Coach Expansion Project:

performance.

INTRODUCTION

- · Tailored communication is grounded in behavior change theory, and has been extensively tested in public health 1,2
- Through E²Coach (Expert Electronic Coaching), we applied tailored communication to four introductory physics courses to provide individualized feedback that recognizes the students' strengths, weaknesses, performance trends, motivations, and goals

WHAT IS E²COACH

E²Coach is a webapp with powerful back-end software that sends tailored messages to students based on their personal background, goals, and class performance.



Student Usage

- Opt-in system for two full-length terms
- 5 sets of messages to students 1 at start of term, 1 a week before exam 1, and after each of the 3 major exams
- · Tracked student clicks over course of term

• Median clicks: term 1 = 18, term 2 = 27

User groups decided as follows

	<= 2 weeks	3-4 weeks	>= 5 weeks
< term's median clicks	low	mod	mod
>= term's median clicks	mod	mod	high

Description of User Groups

Mean BTE scores as a Function of E²Coach Usage

	# Women	# Men	Incoming GPA (M, SD)	Final Grade (M, SD)
Non user	440	1163	3.195, .519	74.94, 12.49
Low user	246	386	3.264, .468	77.00, 11.54
Mod user	263	237	3.337, .431	79.00, 10.39
High user	194	171	3.43, .404	82.76, 8.88

Better-Than-Expected



· The BTE statistic serves as our main measure of student achievement, and compares actual performance to predicted performance.

- · Predicted grade based on incoming GPA.
- BTE = students' personal regression residual / (SD of all residuals)
- BTE statistic helps control for selection bias in the E²Coach user group.

DATA & RESULTS

Effects of E²Coach Usage on Mean BTE Scores

- · A similar pattern of results is seen when looking at E2Coach usage versus incoming GPA, Course Grade, difference between Course Grade and GPA, Linear BTE score and Quadratic BTE score
- · We expected high usage to boost BTE scores relative to all other levels of E2Coach usage. Hence, we conducted planned contrasts to look at the differences between high users and all other types of users. As predicted, all contrasts were significant at the p < .05 level.
- No significant interaction between usage and gender (p = .82). Both main effects of usage and gender on BTE scores are significant. The pattern of results shows that usage affects BTE scores in the same way for both females and males, and males generally have higher BTE scores predicted than females at all usage levels.

All four courses show significant grade penalties, as do almost all Introductory

common in intro STEM courses.

Light blue = male. Dark blue = female

0 29 0 59

0.17 0.46

0 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 10 15 20 25 30 35 4

Dashed lines = one sigma dispersion for each group. Points = mean grade and error on the mean for students entering with the same GPA in other classes Solid line = grades students would receive if they did as well in these classes as they did in others. Lower right numbers = mean grade penalty for male and female students

0.55 0.5

REFERENCES

1. Strecher, Victor J, Shiffman, Saul, and West, Robert, 2005, "Randomized controlled trial of a webbased computer-tailored smoking cessation program as a supplement to nicotine patch therapy". Addiction. 100, no. 5 (May): 682-8.

2. Oenema, A., et al., 2008, "Efficacy and Use of an Internet-delivered Computer-tailored Lifestyle Intervention, Targeting Saturated Fat Intake, Physical Activity and Smoking Cessation: A Randomized Controlled Trial". Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 35, 125.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project is led by Tim McKay and funded by EDUCAUSE Next Generation Learning Challenge (Bill & Melinda Gate's Foundation) and the University of Michigan Learning Analytics Task Force. It is further supported by the Physics Department, Center for Health Research Communications (CHCR), Center for Research on Learning and Teaching (CRLT), and several other resources on UM's campus such as the Science Learning Center (SLC) and WISE (Women in Science and Engineering). Additional funding also provided by National Science Foundation (NSF).



0.41

-0.25



- · On the diagonal are histograms for a statistic. In the upper right triangle are correlation coefficients for the intersecting statistics. In the lower right triangle are heat map scatter plots of the intersecting statistics along with a linear regression fit.
- Final grade is most strongly correlated with # classes skipped, followed by # weeks someone visited E²Coach, and then # clicks in E²Coach.
- · These correlations are reduced when considering the BTE statistic rather than the absolute grade as a performance metric, since the BTE statistics removes some of the E²Coach user group population bias toward better students.
- In magnitude the E²Coach usage correlations with BTE is roughly half the E²Coach usage correlation with grade giving some indication of user group population bias which we believe is partially removed by the BTE statistic.
- Some of the remaining population bias might be removed with resampling techniques.

STEM courses 02402 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 00 15 20 25 30 35 40

In Physics 140 and Chem 130, the grade penalties are much worse for female students. This performance disparity is