

## What is E<sup>2</sup>Coach?

E<sup>2</sup>Coach (<u>E</u>lectronic <u>E</u>xpert Coach) is a website that delivers personal advice for how to succeed in a course to students. This system was implemented with large lecture classes in mind as they are the ones in which students get less individual attention. The information that the system uses to tailor specific messages to students is gathered primarily through surveys in the system.



## **Peer Advice**



Research performed in the school of public health shows that people are more likely to follow advice if the advice comes from their peers<sup>1</sup>. Therefore, most of the advice we deliver on the system comes from previous students who have taken the course. These were originally only Science Learning Center (SLC) study group leaders, but we have started requesting that students currently using the system provide advice for future students.

#### Results



E<sup>2</sup>Coach is a voluntary system with better students being more likely to use it. Therefore, to test its effectiveness, we compared students coming from a similar academic background using a BTE score: BTE ( $G_{actual}$ , GPA) =  $G_{actual}$ - $G_{pred}$ (GPA) High-users, those who visited more than 5 weeks during the semester, had significantly higher BTE Scores.

#### **Tournaments: Peer Review in an Upper Level Physics Course**



### **Tournaments Usage**



Because the system is online, we can observe how the students using it. Above, we can see from the voting clicks that students are taking the voting seriously, toggling between the two assignments that they're asked to vote on. Traditional homework only allows us to review our own work or the professor's solutions, but here, we see that students are taking the time to review the winners of the tournaments, their peer's work. Below, we see that as time goes on, there's a general trend of fewer students using the system and a large drop in the number of students leaving comments. The comments are not part of their grade, which helps inform us that something needs to change in the motivation to leave comments.



The tournaments, a learning tool offered through E<sup>2</sup>Coach, enables students to anonymously rank the work of their peers. Students submit a pdf of their work to the system by a given date. Once the homework is submitted, the students then are asked to compare the work of two of their peers, leaving comments on why they ranked one higher than the other. They make this head-to-head comparison three times. They are then shown the rankings along with the comments. The homework in this course is offered as extra credit. The students who are ranked in the top third get a little more credit than the bottom third.

Tournamenta - Physica 423 Winter 201			
Wins	Losses	Game	Comments
6	0	1111_gome.pdf	
5	1	1042_game.pdf	
5	1	1147_game.pdf	comments: 1
5	1	1174_game.pdf	
5	2	1046_game.pdf	
5	2	1241_game.pdf	
4	2	1083_game.pdf	
4	2	1116_game.pdf	
4	3	1033_game.pdf	
4	3	1051_game.pdf	
4	3	1100_game.pdf	
4	3	1105_game.pdf	
4	3	1215_game.pdf	
4	3	1282_game.pdf	
4	3	1320_game.pdf	comments: 1
3	3	1161_game.pdf	
3	3	1182_game.pdf	
3	3	1109_game.pdf	comments: 1
3	4	1075_game.pdf	comments: 2
3	4	1155_gene.pdf	comments: 1
3	4	1293_game.pdf	comments: 1
		lane	design and the second second

#### Results

One of our main questions that is yet to be fully answered is how well can students, who are still learning the material themselves, identify the correct answer and accurately grade their peer's work. Below is shown a plot of tournament ranks vs. grade. We see that there is a weak correlation. Part of why there may not be a stronger correlation is that many of the students get the correct answer on the homework, so it's difficult to rank based on accuracy alone.



# **Acknowledgments & References**

Special thanks to all who work on E<sup>2</sup>Coach: Tim McKay, Jared Tritz, Kate Miller, Madeline Huberth, Kenny Rosenberg, Nicole Michelotti, Dottie (Jadwiga) Sipowska, Jimmy Brancho, Aaron Knopko, Brenda Gunderson, Karen Nielsen, Omar Chavez, Barsaa Mohapatra, Ken Balzaovich, Anna Cacciaglia, Danielle Trakimas

Thanks to Ben Koester for generating the BTE plot.

1.Strecher, V. J., Shiffman, S., & West, R. (2005). Addiction, 100(5), 682-688.